

ALMOST REGULAR BUNDLES ON DEL PEZZO FIBRATIONS

KÜRŞAT AKER

ABSTRACT. This paper is devoted to the study of a certain class of principal bundles on del Pezzo surfaces, which were introduced and studied by Friedman and Morgan in [10]: The two authors showed that there exists a unique principal bundle (up to isomorphism) on a given (Gorenstein) del Pezzo surface satisfying certain properties. We call these bundles *almost regular*. In turn, we study them in families. In this case, the existence and the moduli of these bundles are governed by the cohomology groups of an abelian sheaf $\mathcal{A}$: On a given del Pezzo fibration, the existence of an almost regular bundle depends on the vanishing of an obstruction class in $H^2(\mathcal{A})$. In which case, the set of isomorphism classes of almost regular bundles become a homogeneous space under the $H^1(\mathcal{A})$ action.

A del Pezzo surface, S , is a smooth complex projective surface whose anticanonical bundle ω_S^{-1} is nef and big. The classification of del Pezzo surfaces shows that such a surface is either isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ or is the blow-up of $\mathbf{P}^2$ at $0 \leq r \leq 8$ points in almost general position (position presque-générale [5]). A Gorenstein del Pezzo surface Y is a normal rational projective surface whose anticanonical sheaf ω_Y^{-1} is invertible (=Gorenstein) and ample. Such a surface is the anticanonical model of a del Pezzo surface S . A principal bundle is a generalization of a vector bundle in which the fibers of the bundle, previously copies of a fixed vector space V , are now replaced with the copies of a fixed (complex Lie) group G . In this paper, we study a class of principal bundles on families of del Pezzo surfaces which are “*natural*” in a certain sense. We will call these bundles *almost regular*.

Friedman and Morgan [10] construct such bundles on a single surface Y and show that they are all isomorphic. In other words, there exists a tautological isomorphism class of bundles on Y . Our question is to study these bundles on a family of (Gorenstein) del Pezzo surfaces, $p : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$, where p is a projective integral flat map whose geometric fibers are (Gorenstein) del Pezzo surfaces. We formulate our solution in terms of tools developed for principal bundles on elliptic fibrations and Higgs bundles, such as cameral covers and abstract Higgs bundles, some basic singularity theory (simultaneous resolutions of rational double points), and (sub)regular elements from reductive Lie groups.

Our solution, most satisfactory when the base of the family is a curve (Theorem 2.39), shows that almost regular bundles on $\mathbf{Y}$ can be classified mainly in terms of maximal torus bundles on a cameral cover $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ (Definition 1.10) of $\mathbf{X}$ (Theorem 2.37). Such a classification is along the classical lines of spectral covers, where

Supported by NSF grants DMS-01004354, FRG-0139799 and Schwerpunktprogramm ”Globale Methoden in der komplexen Geometrie” HU 337/5-2.

Higgs bundles on a given variety are classified in terms of line bundles on spectral covers of the original variety (briefly reviewed in Section 2.2).

The classification of almost regular bundles up to isomorphism goes as follows: First of all, they are locally isomorphic with respect to the base $\mathbf{X}$ (Theorem 2.25). In other words, given two such bundles, for any point $x \in \mathbf{X}$, there is an étale neighborhood $\mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ of $x \in X$ s.t. on $\mathbf{U} \times_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{Y}$, the bundles are isomorphic. Combined with the fact that the automorphism groups of almost regular bundles along fibers are abelian shows that there exists an Abelian sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ of such automorphisms: One sees that the existence of almost regular bundles on $\mathbf{Y}$ are controlled by an obstruction class in $H^2(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{A})$. Once this class vanishes, the isomorphism classes of almost regular bundles become a homogeneous space under the action of $H^1(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{A})$. This agrees with the results of [7] and [9].

This paper adds yet another link to the chain of results relating del Pezzo surfaces and group theory. Here are just two of many examples for the close ties between del Pezzo surfaces and group theory:

- Given a smooth cubic S , its second cohomology $H^2(S, \mathbf{Z})$ contains a copy of the root lattice of E_6 .
- The twenty seven lines of the cubic correspond to the weights of the fundamental representation of E_6 .

Observations like these as well as an impetus coming from mathematical physics (F-theory vs. heterotic string) is behind the desire to study the questions we do in this paper.

Conventions. In this paper, by a scheme, we mean deal with a scheme. Unless otherwise mentioned, we will utilize the étale topology on such a scheme. Our results are valid for complex topology as well.

Acknowledgments This paper generalizes earlier results of my Ph.D. thesis drafted under the supervision of Ron Donagi at the University of Pennsylvania. I would like to thank my advisor, Ron Donagi, for suggesting this problem to me as well as his constant support during my graduate studies. Tony Pantev and Sukhendu Mehrotra have contributed to this work with many stimulating conversations. I am also most grateful to Klaus Hulek for his hospitality which I have enjoyed during my stay at the University of Hannover, whose suggestions also improved the reading of the current manuscript.

1. DEL PEZZO SURFACES

Here we review some classical [5], [6], [18] and less classical [10] facts about del Pezzo surfaces.

Definition 1.1. [6] *A del Pezzo surface S is a smooth complex projective surface whose anticanonical bundle ω_S^{-1} is nef and big.*

A line bundle L on a smooth surface S is nef if $L \cdot C \geq 0$ for all irreducible curves $C \subset S$. A nef line bundle L on S is big if $L \cdot L > 0$.

Definition 1.2. A normal projective surface Y is called a Gorenstein surface if the dualizing sheaf ω_Y on Y is invertible.

A Gorenstein surface Y with ample anticanonical bundle ω_Y^{-1} is either rational or a cone over an elliptic curve. The singularities on such a surface are either rational double points (in the case Y is rational), or the unique simple elliptic singularity (in the case Y is a cone over elliptic curve) [13].

Definition 1.3. A normal projective surface Y is called a Gorenstein del Pezzo surface if it is a rational Gorenstein surface with ω_Y^{-1} ample.

A del Pezzo surface is either isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ or is the blow-up of $\mathbf{P}^2$ at $0 \leq r \leq 8$ points in almost general position (position presque-générale [5]). The minimal resolution of a Gorenstein del Pezzo surface is a del Pezzo surface. In return, the anticanonical model of a del Pezzo surface is a Gorenstein del Pezzo surface. For a given del Pezzo surface S , the anticanonical model of S is defined to be $Y := \text{Proj}(\oplus_n \Gamma(S, \omega_S^{-\otimes n}))$. We will call such a pair (S, Y) a *del Pezzo pair*.

The degree of a del Pezzo surface S is the number K_S^2 and will be denoted by d . The degree of a Gorenstein del Pezzo is defined accordingly. When $S \not\cong \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$, then $d = 9 - r$. In the rest of this work, $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ will be omitted as a (Gorenstein) del Pezzo surface.

A del Pezzo surface S is simply connected. Its second integer cohomology $H^2(S, \mathbf{Z})$ carries most of the topological information of S and is also isomorphic to $\text{Pic}(S)$. It carries a unimodular bilinear form, namely *the intersection pairing*. The following lattice is an abstract model for $H^2(S, \mathbf{Z})$ for a surface of degree $9 - r$:

1.4. Unimodular lattice Λ_r . Define $\Lambda_r = \mathbf{Z}^{1+r}$ with the (orthonormal) basis $\mathbf{e}_0, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r$ satisfying $\mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_j = 0$ if $i \neq j$, $\mathbf{e}_0^2 = 1$ and $\mathbf{e}_i^2 = -1$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ for $1 \leq r \leq 8$. Inside Λ_r , fix $\kappa_r := -3\mathbf{e}_0 + \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbf{e}_i$. For convenience, define $(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j) := -\mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_j$. Since Λ_r is unimodular, we identify Λ_r by its dual via the isomorphism induced by the bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)$.

The second cohomology $H^2(S, \mathbf{Z})$ of a surface S of degree $9 - r$ is isomorphic to Λ_r . Among all such isomorphisms, we single out the ones with geometric significance:

Definition 1.5. An isometry $\varphi : \Lambda_r \rightarrow \text{Pic}(S)$ with $\varphi(\kappa_r) = K_S$ is called a marking. If this marking is induced by a blow-down structure on S , it is called a geometric marking.

Definition 1.6 ([6]). A blowing down structure on a Del Pezzo S of degree $9 - r$ is a composition of birational morphisms

$$S = S_r \xrightarrow{\pi_r} S_{r-1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{r-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\pi_2} S_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} S_0 := \mathbf{P}^2,$$

where each $\pi_i : S_i \rightarrow S_{i-1}$ is the blow-up of a point $x_i \in S_{i-1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$.

A blowing down structure on S induces a basis of $\text{Pic}(S)$ formed by the classes $e_0 = \pi^*(\ell)$, $e_i = (\pi_k \circ \dots \circ \pi_i)^*(E_i)$, where ℓ is a line on $\mathbf{P}^2$ and $E_i = \pi_i^{-1}(x_i)$. Such

a basis of $\text{Pic}(S)$ is called *geometric basis*. The isometry sending $\mathbf{e}_i \in \Lambda_r \mapsto e_i \in \text{Pic}(S)$ is the induced marking.

Earlier in the introduction, we have mentioned the close ties between del Pezzo surfaces and group theory. We formulate few, equivalently, in terms of Λ_r : First, extend the series of simply connected group E_6, E_7, E_8 for $r = 6, 7, 8$ via setting $E_r = A_1 \times A_2, A_4, D_5$ for $r = 3, 4, 5$ and denote the root and the weight lattices of E_r by $\mathbf{Q}(E_r)$ and $\mathbf{P}(E_r)$ respectively. Then:

- The dual lattice pair $(\mathbf{Z}\kappa_r)^\perp$ and $\Lambda_r/(\mathbf{Z}\kappa_r)$ is isomorphic to the lattice pair $\mathbf{Q}(E_r)$ and $\mathbf{P}(E_r)$. Denote the former pair by $\mathbf{Q}(\Lambda_r)$ and $\mathbf{P}(\Lambda_r)$.
- Fix a maximal torus $T \subset E_r$ and let $W := N_{E_r}(T)/T$ be the corresponding Weyl group. Then the group of self-isometries of Λ_r preserving κ_r is isomorphic to W . The group W acts simply transitively on the set of markings of a surface S of degree $9 - r$.

Roots in Λ_r are characterized as follows: $\alpha \in \Lambda_r$ is a root if $\alpha^2 = -2$ and $\alpha \cdot \kappa = 0$. A root α is *effective* with respect to a marking φ if the corresponding class is an effective divisor on S . One can accordingly define (effective) roots on S . Irreducible effective roots on S are also called (-2) -curves, i.e. irreducible curves $C \subset S$ s.t. $C^2 = -2$ and $C \cdot K_S = 0$. For a given del Pezzo pair (S, Y) , the birational morphism $S \rightarrow Y$ contracts exactly the (-2) -curves. The resulting singularities are rational double points. Reading this map in the reverse order, we see that $S \rightarrow Y$ is the blowup of rational double points of Y whose exceptional divisors are unions of special configurations of (-2) -curves. Denote the set of (-2) -curves, effective roots and roots in $\text{Pic}(S)$ respectively by $\Delta_e(S) \subset \Delta_i(S) \subset \Delta(S)$.

For a del Pezzo pair (S, Y) , we can relate the rational double points of Y , S and root systems as follows: Inside the root system $\Delta(S)$, the set of effective curves $\Delta_e(S)$ form a subroot system. The set of (-2) -curves $\Delta_e(S)$ is a set of simple roots for this subroot system.

1.7. Rational Double Points. Rational double points are the simplest of all isolated surface singularities:

Definition 1.8. *A point $y \in Y$ is a rational double point(=RDP) if for one (hence for all) resolution(s) $\rho : S \rightarrow Y$, $R^1\rho_*\mathcal{O}_S = 0$ near y .*

Like del Pezzo surfaces, rational double points on a normal surface are also related to Lie theory: The exceptional divisor of the minimal resolution of a rational double point singularity is a connected union of (-2) -curves given by a special configuration. This configuration is best explained via *the dual graph* of the singularity: Whenever two such curves meet, the intersection is transversal. The dual graph of the singularity is the undirected graph whose vertices are (-2) -curves and edges link the vertices corresponding to intersecting (-2) -curves. The analytic isomorphism class of a rational double point is determined by its dual graph, which in turn is a Dynkin diagram of type A, D, or E. For this reason, these singularities are also called ADE singularities. Furthermore, one can construct a rational

double point starting from the Lie algebra of the same type. Indeed, one can construct more, including the semi-universal deformation space and the simultaneous resolution of the rational double point singularity. These singularities have many different characterizations [8]. We refer the reader to [20], [22], [21] for different aspects of these singularities.

1.9. Simultaneous Resolutions. Rational double points are exceptional among other surface singularities because they admit simultaneous resolutions, i.e. given a deformation Y of (a germ of) a rational double point singularity over some base X (again a germ), there exists a finite base change $X' \rightarrow X$ and a morphism $S \rightarrow Y' := Y \times_X X'$ s.t. the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S & \xrightarrow{r} & Y' & \xrightarrow{\tilde{c}} & Y \\ s \downarrow & & \tilde{p} \downarrow & & p \downarrow \\ X' & \xlongequal{\quad} & X' & \xrightarrow{c} & X \end{array}$$

commutes, $S \rightarrow X'$ is smooth and $S \rightarrow Y'$ is proper. Here a given *fiber* of S is a resolution of singularities of the corresponding *fiber* of Y' .

The existence of such a local resolution was proved by Brieskorn [3], [4] and Tjurina [23], [24]. Later, Artin gave a global version of this theorem [1]: Namely, given a flat family of surfaces Y over a scheme X whose fibers contain at worst rational double points, there exists a finite base change $X' \rightarrow X$ and $S \rightarrow Y' := Y \times_X X'$ s.t. $S \rightarrow X'$ is smooth and $S \rightarrow Y'$ is proper ([17]).

Briefly, we touch on the group theoretic nature of rational double points singularities. W.l.o.g. assume that we only have a unique singular point. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the corresponding, simple Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{t}$ a Cartan subalgebra in $\mathfrak{g}$ and W its Weyl group. Then one can choose a $2 + \text{rank } \mathfrak{g}$ dimensional plane Y in $\mathfrak{g}$ s.t. after setting $X' = \mathfrak{t}$ and $X = \mathfrak{t}/W$, we see that $Y \rightarrow X$ is the semiuniversal deformation space, where the fiber above $0 \in X$ is the singularity of the required type. Furthermore, after the base change $X' \rightarrow X$, one can resolve the singularities of Y' simultaneously.

Unfortunately, the group theoretic character of the local construction is lost in the global version. Namely, in the local case, the cover $X' \rightarrow X$ could be chosen Galois under W as we did above, whereas in the global version there is no such guarantee. We will single out such simultaneous resolutions:

For a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{t}$ (of some reductive Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$) and its Weyl group W , we introduce $(W-)$ cameral covers. The significance of such covers is that they can be used to construct principal bundles, more specifically, abstract Higgs bundles (Definition 2.5). This point will become clearer in Section 2.

Definition 1.10. A finite flat cover $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is called a $(W-)$ cameral cover if locally (in the étale topology) it is a pullback of the covering $\mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}/W$.

Definition 1.11. For a family of Gorenstein del Pezzo surfaces of degree $9 - r$, $\mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$, a cameral resolution of $p : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ is a family of del Pezzo surfaces

$s : \mathbf{S} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{X}}$, together with a map $r : \mathbf{S} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ so that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{S} & \xrightarrow{r} & \tilde{\mathbf{Y}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{c}} & \mathbf{Y} \\ \downarrow s & & \downarrow \tilde{p} & & \downarrow p \\ \tilde{\mathbf{X}} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \tilde{\mathbf{X}} & \xrightarrow{c} & \mathbf{X} \end{array},$$

commutes and $c : \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{X}$ is a W -cameral cover and $r : \mathbf{S} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$ is a simultaneous resolution over $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$.

Here, W is the group of self isometries of Λ_r preserving κ_r (or, equivalently, the Weyl group of a Cartan subgroup of $\mathbf{G}_r$ (see Section 1.13)).

It is clear that such resolutions will not exist in general, however, Brieskorn's earlier work [3], [4] combined with [22] shows that when $\mathbf{X}$ is a smooth projective curve and $\mathbf{Y}$ a smooth projective threefold,

Theorem 1.12. *There is a cameral resolution of $\mathbf{Y} \longrightarrow \mathbf{X}$ so that*

- *the cameral cover $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ is smooth,*
- *the pullback family $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$ is normal and*
- *the family of del Pezzo surfaces, $\mathbf{S}$, is a smooth threefold.*

1.13. Conformal Groups. Given a del Pezzo surface of degree S of degree $9 - r$, the sublattice $(\mathbf{Z}K_S)^\perp$ is isomorphic to the root lattice of simply connected group E_r , in other words to the cocharacter lattice of E_r , for $3 \leq r \leq 8$. Is there another group related to E_r whose cocharacter lattice is isomorphic to the full lattice $H^2(S, \mathbf{Z})$? The answer is yes. These groups are constructed in [10] and are called *conformal groups*. For a given r , a conformal group is of the form $E_r \times_{\mathbf{Z}/(9-r)\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{C}^*$ and it is essentially unique up to isomorphism [10]. Denote the resulting group by $\mathbf{G}_r$. We will drop r if it is clear from the context.

Conformal groups have been previously introduced to construct universal *regular* bundles on the moduli space of principal G -bundles on a smooth elliptic curve for a given simple, simply connected group G [11] (for regular bundles, see Definition 2.30).

For our purposes, it will be sufficient to know the root theoretic properties, a set of fundamental weights and the following facts about the group $\mathbf{G}_r$: The cocharacter lattice (of a maximal torus) of a conformal group $\mathbf{G}_r$ is isomorphic to Λ_r . This lattice is unimodular, thus it is isomorphic to the character lattice. Thus we identify these two lattices. Under this identification, the roots are identified with coroots. Hence, $\mathbf{G}_r$ is Langlands self-dual. In addition, the derived subgroup of $\mathbf{G}_r$ is E_r . In particular, it is a simply connected semisimple group. Using these information, we will prove an important property of $\mathbf{G}_r$: The centralizer of a given regular element g in $\mathbf{G}_r$ is connected (Theorem 1.17).

1.14. Regularity. Regular and subregular elements of Lie groups (resp. algebras) and related concepts are the common core of several notions in this paper. For a given reductive group,

Definition 1.15. *An element g of a reductive Lie group is said to be regular (resp. subregular) if its centralizer is of dimension $\text{rank } G$ (resp. $2 + \text{rank } G$).*

An element of $GL(n)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{gl}_n$) is regular iff there exists a unique Jordan block for each eigenvalue iff the minimal polynomial is equal to the characteristic polynomial.

One can think of the centralizer $C_G(g)$ as the automorphism group of g and $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(g)$ as its Lie algebra of infinitesimal endomorphisms under the conjugation action on G .

The analogous definition on a smooth elliptic curve is

Definition 1.16. *A semistable principal G -bundle E_G on a smooth elliptic curve is regular if $h^0(\text{Ad}(E_G)) = \text{rank } G$ (or equivalently, $h^0(\text{Aut}(E_G)) = \text{rank } G$).*

Indeed, [9] links together these two concepts showing that the (infinitesimal) automorphism group of a semistable principal G -bundle on a smooth elliptic curve is isomorphic to the (infinitesimal) centralizer of a Lie algebra element. (Sub)regular elements will also appear in the context of rational double points.

The centralizer of a regular element will be called a regular centralizer. A regular section of a Lie algebra bundle or a group scheme is a section whose values are regular in the above sense.

We now want to prove the following fact about conformal groups:

Theorem 1.17. *All regular centralizers in $\mathbf{G}_r$ are connected.*

Notice that the same statement is true for a semisimple group G if and only if it is both simply connected and adjoint. As a corollary of the theorem, we see that a regular centralizer in $\mathbf{G}_r$ is a connected Abelian group of dimension $1 + r$.

Before proving this assertion, we will review some facts and results adapted from [16]:

Given an element x in a Lie group G , denote its Jordan decomposition $x = x_s x_u$ where x_s is the semisimple and x_u is the unipotent part of x . Two Lie group elements x and y commute if and only if all parts of the Jordan decompositions of x and y commute. Then,

Lemma 1.18 (Centralizer Reduction).

$$C_G(x) = C_{C_G(x_s)}(x_u).$$

From now on, assume G is reductive. The subgroup $C_G(x_s)$ is a reductive subgroup of G of the same rank. Typically, it is not connected, but the identity component $C_G(x_s)^0$ contains all unipotent elements of $C_G(x_s)$. Consequently, an element x is (sub)regular in G iff x_u is (sub)regular in $C_G(x_s)^0$.

For a given regular unipotent element u in G ,

Lemma 1.19. *Assume that u is contained in the unipotent radical U of the Borel subgroup B . Then*

$$(1) \ C_G(u) = Z(G)C_U(u) \cong Z(G) \times C_U(u),$$

- (2) The subgroup $C_U(u)$ is connected,
- (3) $C_G(u)$ is connected if and only if $Z(G)$ is connected.

We now focus on what happens in the case of conformal groups.

Lemma 1.20. *Given a semisimple element s in $\mathbf{G}_r$, the centralizer $C_{\mathbf{G}_r}(s)$ is a connected reductive group of the same rank as $\mathbf{G}_r$.*

To prove this lemma, we will introduce first, a set of simple roots in Λ_r and a corresponding set of fundamental weights:

1.21. A Set of Simple Roots. Inside Δ_r , fix a set of simple roots $\alpha_1 := \mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \alpha_{r-1} := \mathbf{e}_{r-1} - \mathbf{e}_r, \alpha_r := \mathbf{e}_0 - \mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_2 - \mathbf{e}_3$. Denote this set by Σ and the corresponding set of positive roots by Δ_+ . Let $\mathbf{B}$ be the corresponding Borel subgroup of $\mathbf{G}_r$ containing $\mathbf{T} = \Lambda_r \otimes \mathbf{C}^*$. From now on, we fix these three groups $\mathbf{T} \subset \mathbf{B} \subset \mathbf{G}_r$.

1.22. Fundamental Weights. [10] Corresponding to the set of simple roots, α_i , for $i = 1, \dots, r$, we introduce the fundamental weights $\bar{\omega}_i$ in $\mathbf{P}(\Lambda_r)$. These will be the images of elements $\omega_i \in \Lambda_r$:

- $\omega_1 := \mathbf{e}_0 - \mathbf{e}_1$,
- $\omega_2 := 2\mathbf{e}_0 - \mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_2$,
- $\omega_i := \mathbf{e}_{i+1} + \dots + \mathbf{e}_r$ for $i = 3, \dots, r-1$,
- $\omega_r := \mathbf{e}_0$.

We complete both sets of elements α_i and ω_i to bases of Λ_r by setting $\alpha_{1+r} = \mathbf{e}_3$ and $\omega_{r+1} = \kappa_r$. This is the unique extension with $\omega_{r+1} = \kappa_r$ and $\alpha_i \cdot \omega_j = \delta_{ij}$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, 1+r$.

A nice consequence of the unimodularity of the lattice Λ_r is

Lemma 1.23. *For any set of simple roots $S \subset \Delta_r$, $\mathbf{T}^S := \{t \in \mathbf{T} : \alpha(t) = 1 \text{ for } \alpha \in S\}$ is connected, hence a torus. Its centralizer $C_{\mathbf{G}_r}(\mathbf{T}^S)$ is a connected reductive group with maximal torus $\mathbf{T}$ and connected center $\mathbf{T}^S$.*

Proof. First, we will prove that $\mathbf{T}^S$ is connected, thus a subtorus in $\mathbf{T}$. The centralizer of any subtorus is a connected reductive group [15] and the rest is standard.

W.l.o.g. we assume that $S \subset \Sigma_r$. Recall that $\mathbf{T} \cong \mathbf{C}^* \otimes \Lambda_r$. Then an element $t = \sum_{i=1}^{1+r} t_i \otimes \omega_i$ is in $\mathbf{T}^S$ if and only if $\alpha_j(t) = t_j = 1$ for all $\alpha_j \in S$. From these equations it is clear that $\mathbf{T}^S \cong \mathbf{C}^* \otimes \Lambda_r^S$, where $\Lambda_r^S := \bigcap_{\alpha_j \in S} \ker \alpha_j$. In particular, $\mathbf{T}^S$ is connected. □

Proof of Lemma 1.20. The conformal group $\mathbf{G}_r$ is a reductive self-dual Lie group with a connected center. Then the centralizer of any semisimple element s is connected by the Remark in Section 2.11 [16]. W.l.o.g. assume $s \in \mathbf{T}$. Then $C_{\mathbf{G}_r}(s) = C_{\mathbf{G}_r}(\mathbf{T}^S)$ where $S := \{\alpha \in \Sigma : \alpha(s) = 1\}$. The rest now follows from the previous lemma. □

Proof of Theorem 1.17. Given regular element x with Jordan decomposition $x = x_s x_u$, we see that $C_{\mathbf{G}_r}(x_s)$ is a connected reductive group with connected center by the lemma above. Then x_u is regular in the reductive group $C_{\mathbf{G}_r}(x_s)$. The theorem follows by applying Lemma 1.19 to the pair $x_u \in C_{\mathbf{G}_r}(x_s)$. $\square$

1.24. The Attached Groups. Given a del Pezzo pair (S, Y) and a marking φ , we can define more group theoretic data. Denote the sets $\phi^{-1}(\Delta_i(S))$ and $\phi^{-1}(\Delta_e(S))$ by Σ_φ and $\Delta_{\varphi+}$. Let

- $\Lambda^\varphi := \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Sigma_\varphi} \ker \alpha$,
- $\mathbf{T}^\varphi := \mathbf{T}^{\Sigma_\varphi} = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Sigma_\varphi} \ker \alpha$,
- $\mathbf{G}_{r\varphi} := C_{\mathbf{G}_r}(\mathbf{T}^\varphi)$,
- $N_\varphi(\mathbf{T}) := N_{\mathbf{G}_{r\varphi}}(\mathbf{T})$ and $W_\varphi := N_\varphi/\mathbf{T}$.
- Denote the Borel subgroup of $\mathbf{G}_{r\varphi}$ corresponding to the system of simple roots, Σ_φ by $\mathbf{B}_\varphi$ and its unipotent radical by $\mathbf{U}_\varphi$.

The Levi decomposition of $\mathbf{B}_\varphi$ is $\mathbf{B}_\varphi = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}_\varphi$. Notice that $\mathbf{T}^\varphi = \mathbf{C}^* \otimes \Lambda^\varphi$. The subgroup $\mathbf{T}^\varphi \mathbf{U}_\varphi \subset \mathbf{B}_\varphi$ is a $\mathbf{B}$ -invariant (i.e. normal) subgroup of $\mathbf{B}$.

All the subgroups of $\mathbf{G}_r$ above are connected. Recall that $\mathbf{G}_{r\varphi}$ is a reductive Lie group with maximal torus $\mathbf{T}$ and center $Z(\mathbf{G}_{r\varphi}) = \mathbf{T}^\varphi$. The union $\Delta_{\varphi+} \sqcup (-\Delta_{\varphi+})$ is the root system of $\mathbf{T}$ in $\mathbf{G}_{r\varphi}$, with the preferred system of simple roots, Σ_φ .

The normalizer $N_\varphi(\mathbf{T})$ is $N_{\mathbf{G}_r}(\mathbf{T}) \cap \mathbf{G}_{r\varphi}$ and the Weyl subgroup $W_\varphi \subset W$ is generated by the reflections in the roots $\in \Sigma_\varphi$ (or, resp. $\in \Delta_{\varphi+}$) corresponding to -2 -curves under φ (or resp. effective roots in $\text{Pic}(S)$ under φ).

When φ is geometric, then $\mathbf{B}_\varphi = \mathbf{B} \cap \mathbf{G}_{r\varphi}$, where $\mathbf{B}$ is the Borel subgroup of Section 1.21.

The accompanying Lie algebras may be similarly defined, for which all the analogous statements hold.

Let $W(S)$ denote the group of all isometries generated by reflections in the roots of S . Then $W(S)$ is the set all self-isometries of $H^2(S, \mathbf{Z})$ preserving $[K_S]$.

Let $W_e(S)$ denote the subgroup of $W(S)$ generated by reflections with respect to the (-2) -curves in $\text{Pic}(S)$. The subgroup $W_e(S)$ can be viewed as the Weyl group of the singularities of the anti-canonical model of S . For any marking φ , $W_\varphi = \varphi^{-1} W_e(S) \varphi$.

2. PRINCIPAL BUNDLES

We briefly discuss the relationship between vector bundles and Higgs bundles and their principal bundle analogues.

Constructing nontrivial vector bundles can be a hard problem depending on the base scheme and the invariants and the properties the bundles should have, such as rank, Chern classes, stability etc. In comparison, constructing Higgs bundles can be a slightly easier problem.

For a given scheme X and a fixed line bundle K (not necessarily the canonical bundle K_X),

Definition 2.1. A Higgs bundle (V, ϕ) on X is a pair consisting of a vector bundle V and a linear map $\phi : V \rightarrow V \otimes K$. Here, ϕ is called a K -valued endomorphism or a Higgs field.

Higgs bundles were first studied by Hitchin [14] to show that the cotangent bundle of the moduli space of stable principal G -bundles on a smooth curve X of genus > 2 is an algebraically complete integrable system. In his case, $K = K_X$ and the pair (V, ϕ) represents a point in this cotangent bundle.

For Higgs bundles, the problem of construction is easier since it can be reformulated in terms of the eigenvalues and the eigenspaces of ϕ .

2.2. Spectral Covers. In a given trivialization, one can think of ϕ as a square matrix. Then the characteristic equation $\det(t \text{Id} - \phi) = 0$ defines a finite cover of X in $X \times \mathbf{A}^1$ contained as a divisor and the sheaf of eigenspaces on this finite cover is the sheaf $\text{coker}(t \text{Id} - \phi)$. One can easily globalize these definitions and establish a one-to-one correspondence between Higgs Bundles (V, ϕ) and the spectral data $(\overline{X}, \mathcal{E})$:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Higgs Bundles} \\ (V, \phi) \text{ with} \\ \text{regular } \phi \end{array} \right\} \rightleftharpoons \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Spectral Data } (\overline{X}, \mathcal{E}) \\ \text{with } \overline{X} \subset \text{Tot}(K) \\ \text{and } \mathcal{E} \in \text{Pic}(\overline{X}) \end{array} \right\}$$

Here $\text{Tot}(K)$ is the total space of the line bundle K , π is the bundle projection $\text{Tot}(K) \rightarrow X$ and τ is the tautological section of π^*K on $\text{Tot}(K)$.

The pair (V, ϕ) induces a spectral cover $\overline{X} := \det(\text{Id} \otimes \tau - \pi^*\phi) = 0$ and the sheaf of eigenvalues $\mathcal{E} := \text{coker}(\text{Id} \otimes \tau - \pi^*\phi)$. In order to control the singularities of $(\overline{X}, \mathcal{E})$, one must impose some genericity assumption on ϕ : semisimplicity (see [2] for this case) or regularity. We will assume that ϕ_x is regular for all $x \in X$, i.e. a unique Jordan block for each eigenvalue. Notice that ϕ is regular everywhere if and only if $\mathcal{E}$ is a line bundle on $\overline{X}$.

Conversely, starting with a divisor $\overline{X} \subset |n\pi^*(K)|$ s.t. it is finite and flat over X and a line bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on $\overline{X}$ for some $n > 0$, one can construct a rank n vector bundle V and a Higgs field ϕ by pushing the line bundle $\mathcal{E}$ and the linear map $1 \otimes \tau : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \pi_{|\overline{X}}^*(K)$ forward by $\pi_{|\overline{X}}$.

These two algorithms establish a correspondence between the two sides. Of the two, the second one is more interesting as it enables us to construct Higgs bundles, in particular vector bundles, from much simpler data.

2.3. Principal Bundles. Very shortly, a principal bundle to a vector bundle is what a group is to a vector space: Given a scheme X and a complex Lie group G ,

Definition. The pair (E_G, p) is called a principal G -bundle on X if there is a free right G -action on E_G and $p : E_G \rightarrow X$ is locally trivial in the étale topology so that $p(eg) = p(e)$ for all $e \in E_G$ and $g \in G$.

The morphism p is called the bundle projection. The group G is called the structure group of the bundle E_G . For any scheme F with a left G -action, we can

form the fiber bundle $E_G(F) := E_G \times^G F := E_G \times F / \sim$, where $(eg, f) \sim (e, gf)$ for $e \in E_G$ and $f \in F$. We will denote $E_G(\mathfrak{g})$ by $\text{Ad}(E_G)$ and $E_G(G)$ by $\text{Aut}(E_G)$ where G acts on itself and its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ by conjugation.

From now on, we assume G is reductive. We fix a maximal torus T and a Borel subgroup B with Levi decomposition $B = TU$. Denote the normalizer of T in G by N and let $W = N/T$, the Weyl group of T .

2.4. Abstract Higgs Bundles. In [7], Donagi and Gaitsgory provide an analogue of the above correspondence in the setting of principal bundles. As expected, because of the nonlinear nature of groups, the steps of the solution are much more involved.

We will only draw a sketch of the objects involved in this new equivalence. For details, see [7]. On the left side of the new equivalence is an *abstract Higgs bundle*:

Definition 2.5. *An abstract Higgs bundle is a pair $(E_G, \mathfrak{c})$, where E_G is a principal G -bundle and $\mathfrak{c}$ is a vector subbundle of $\text{Ad}(E_G)$, locally the centralizer of a section ϕ of $\text{Ad}(E_G)$, where ϕ_x is a regular element for all $x \in X$. The subbundle $\mathfrak{c}$ is called a Higgs structure.*

Notice that instead of gluing local regular sections of $\text{Ad}(E_G)$, the authors prefer to glue their centralizers to give the subbundle $\mathfrak{c}$ of the definition.

The right hand side is a triple consisting of again a cover $\tilde{X}$ of X , a T -bundle on $\tilde{X}$ with the correct ramification behaviour, and a comparison homomorphism between N and the automorphism group of the T -bundle, all of which are subject to some compatibility conditions.

On the right hand side, the spectral cover $\overline{X}$ is replaced by a cameral cover $\tilde{X}$ and the line bundle $\mathcal{E}$ is replaced by a T -bundle.

Examples. Any unramified W -cover is cameral. Any double cover is also cameral. The following example is not just an example, but it is the *universal example* (see Section 7 [7]).

Example 2.6. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ and $l = \text{rank}(G) = \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g})$. Denote the (open) subvariety of regular elements (Definition 1.15) of $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{reg}}$.

All Cartan subalgebras are regular centralizers and conjugate under the conjugation, hence G/N is the parameter space of Cartan subalgebras in $\mathfrak{g}$. Because all regular centralizers are l -dimensional, there is a morphism sending a regular element $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\text{reg}}$ to its centralizer $[\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(x) \subset \mathfrak{g}]$ in the Grassmannian of l -planes in $\mathfrak{g}$, $\text{Gr}(l, \mathfrak{g})$. The image is G -invariant under conjugation, contains a copy G/N , namely the subvariety of regular semisimple centralizers, and is denoted by $\overline{G/N}$.

The variety $\overline{G/N}$ has a cameral cover $\overline{G/T}$ defined inside $\overline{G/N} \times G/B$ by $\{(\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{b}) : \mathfrak{r} \subset \mathfrak{b}\}$, identifying G/B with the flag variety of G , the parameter space of Borel subalgebras in $\mathfrak{g}$.

The universal Higgs bundle is the pair $(E_G, \mathfrak{c})$, where E_G is the trivial G -bundle on $\overline{G/N}$ and $\mathfrak{c}$ is the restriction of the universal l -plane bundle on $\text{Gr}(l, \mathfrak{g})$. The

universal cameral cover is $\overline{G/T} \rightarrow \overline{G/N}$ and the universal T -bundle is the pullback of the tautological T -bundle on G/B given by $G/U \rightarrow G/B$.

These objects are universal in the sense that any other Higgs bundle and the related cameral cover are obtained as their pullbacks.

Remark 2.7. One can show that an abstract Higgs bundle $(E_G, \mathfrak{c})$ on a given scheme X is equivalent to another pair (E_G, σ) , where E_G is the same principal G -bundle and $\sigma : E_G \rightarrow \overline{G/N}$ is a (right) G -equivariant morphism. In fact, in [7], the authors adopt such pairs $(E_G, \mathfrak{c})$ as abstract Higgs bundles.

2.8. Regularized Bundles. In our work, we will use a version of Higgs bundle adapted to fibrations:

Definition 2.9. *Given a projective flat map $p : Y \rightarrow X$ with integral fibers, a regularized G -bundle on Y/X is a triple $(E_G, \mathfrak{c}_X, i)$, where*

- E_G is a principal G -bundle on Y ,
- $\mathfrak{c}_X$ is a vector bundle on X , and
- The map $i : p^* \mathfrak{c}_X \rightarrow \text{Ad}(E_G)$ is a vector bundle injection, whose image is a vector subbundle of regular centralizers, i.e. any point $x \in X$ has an open neighborhood U (in the étale topology or the complex topology), so the image of $\mathfrak{c}_X$ under i is the centralizer of a regular section of $\text{Ad}(E_G)$ over U .

In this case, the pair $(\mathfrak{c}_X, i)$ will be called a *regularization* for the bundle E_G . In other words, a regularization for the bundle E_G is a Higgs structure constant along the fibers of Y/X . Here, we quote Theorem 18.5 [7]:

Theorem (Theorem 18.5 [7]). *A regularized G -bundle on Y is the same as a triple:*

- (a) *A cameral cover $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$,*
- (b) *A W -equivariant map $v : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \text{Bun}_T(Y/X)$ (of X -schemes), satisfying:*
 $\alpha_i \circ v|_{D^{\alpha_i}} = 1 \in \text{Pic}(Y/X), \forall$ *simple root α_i , and*
- (c) *An object of $\text{Higgs}'_{\tilde{X}}(X) \otimes_{\text{Tors}_{T_{\tilde{X}}}} \mathcal{Q}_v$.*

Here we briefly explain the terms of this theorem (see Section 18 [7] for a more complete description): $\text{Higgs}'_{\tilde{X}}(X)$ encodes T -bundles on $\tilde{X}$ with certain ramification properties. In general, the sheaf $T_{\tilde{X}}$ is defined as a subsheaf of another sheaf $\overline{T}_{\tilde{X}}$. The sheaf $\overline{T}_{\tilde{X}}$ on $(U \rightarrow X) \in \text{Sch}_{\text{ét}}(X)$ is defined to be $\overline{T}_{\tilde{X}}(U) = \text{Hom}_W(U \times_X \tilde{X}, T)$, the group of W -equivariant morphisms $U \times_X \tilde{X} \rightarrow T$. For a reductive group G , whose derived subgroup is simply connected, $T_{\tilde{X}} = \overline{T}_{\tilde{X}}$ (p.123 [7]).

Given a sheaf of Abelian groups $\mathcal{A}$ on $\text{Sch}_{\text{ét}}(X)$, for $(U \rightarrow X) \in \text{Sch}_{\text{ét}}(X)$, denote the category of $\mathcal{A}|_U$ -torsors on U by $\text{Tors}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$. (Here $\text{Sch}_{\text{ét}}(X)$ denotes the big étale site over X , the category of all schemes over X whose covering maps are surjective étale morphisms.) The assignment $U \rightarrow \text{Tors}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$ gives a sheaf of Picard

categories on $\text{Sch}_{et}(X)$. Recall that a Picard category is a groupoid together with a tensor category structure so that all objects are invertible. For example, the category of T -bundles on the scheme X is a Picard category. Having said that, denote the sheaf defined by $U \rightarrow \text{Tors}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$ by $\text{Tors}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Letting $\mathcal{A} = T_{\tilde{X}}$, we get $\text{Tors}_{T_{\tilde{X}}}$.

The remaining piece is $\mathcal{Q}_v$. For $(U \rightarrow X) \in \text{Sch}_{et}(X)$, $\mathcal{Q}_v(U)$ can be described as the category of all possible lifts of the value map v to a $T_{\tilde{Y}}$ -torsor on $Y_U := U \times_X Y$. If Y/X has a section, $\mathcal{Q}_v$ is trivial.

When X is projective, the set of isomorphism classes of regularized bundle with fixed $(\tilde{X}, v)$ is a torsor over the Abelian group $H^1(X, T_{\tilde{X}})$ (Corollary 18.7 [7]).

We want to apply the framework of regularized bundles and a variant of this theorem to study almost regular bundles on (Gorenstein) del Pezzo families.

2.10. Almost Regular Bundles. *From now on, assume that all the (Gorenstein) del Pezzo surfaces are of degree ≤ 6 . For families, assume the base is connected and the degree of the fibers are ≤ 6 as well.*

Starting with a del Pezzo pair (S, Y) , fix an ample divisor H on S , a marking φ of S and fix a $\mathbf{T}$ -bundle $E_{\mathbf{T}}$ a representative of $\varphi \in \text{Bun}_{\mathbf{T}}(S)$.

Via the marking, the ample divisor H determines a Weyl chamber, hence a Borel subgroup, w.l.o.g. assume the Borel subgroup determined by φ is the Borel subgroup $\mathbf{B}$ of Section 1.21.

In [10], the authors prove the following:

Theorem 2.11. *Up to isomorphism, there is a unique $\mathbf{G}_r$ -bundle $E_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ on surface S with the following properties:*

- (1) *There is a $\mathbf{B}$ -bundle $E_{\mathbf{B}}$ such that $E_{\mathbf{B}}/\mathbf{U} \cong E_{\mathbf{T}}$ and $E_{\mathbf{G}_r} \cong E_{\mathbf{B}} \times^{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{G}_r$, where $\mathbf{U}$ is the unipotent radical of $\mathbf{B}$ and $E_{\mathbf{T}}$ is a $\mathbf{T}$ -bundle with $[E_{\mathbf{T}}] = \text{cl}(\varphi)$ for a given marking φ of S .*
- (2) *The bundle $E_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ is rigid, namely $H^1(S, \text{Ad}(E_{\mathbf{G}_r})) = 0$.*

The bundle $E_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ also has the properties:

- (1) *For every $D \in |-K_S|$, $h^0(D, \text{Ad}(E_{\mathbf{G}_r})|D) = 1 + r = \text{rank}(\mathbf{G}_r)$.*
- (2) *The bundle $E_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ descends from S to Y .*

Definition 2.12. *A $\mathbf{G}_r$ -bundle $E_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ on S of satisfying (1) and (2) of the above theorem, or its descent to Y , is called an almost regular $\mathbf{G}_r$ -bundle.*

The reason why we name these bundles almost regular is as follows: Recall that a semistable G -bundle on a smooth elliptic curve is regular if the infinitesimal transformations of the bundle is of dimension $\text{rank } G$. Almost regular bundles satisfy this property on a (Gorenstein) del Pezzo surface. Also, for any smooth $D \in |-K_S|$ (resp. $D \in |-K_Y|$), the restriction is a regular semistable $\mathbf{G}_r$ -bundle on the smooth elliptic curve D . However, when D contains (-2) -curves, then the restriction to (-2) -curves, or equivalently the restriction to the rational double points of Y shows a different behaviour, which will be described in detail later on (Theorem 2.34). For this reason, we have coined such bundles *almost* regular in

analogy to the fact that surface S is the blow-up of $\mathbf{P}^2$ at r points in *almost* general position.

Remark 2.13. It can be shown that the isomorphism class of almost regular G -bundles on S is independent of the choices of the marking, ample divisor or the Borel subgroup chosen.

The following is a refined version of the original lemma in [10]:

Lemma 2.14 (Lemma 3.8 [10]). *Given a geometric marking φ , a corresponding $\mathbf{T}$ -bundle $E_{\mathbf{T}}$ and a smooth divisor $D \in |-K_S|$, one has:*

- (1) *The structure group of the bundle $e_{\mathbf{T}} := E_{\mathbf{T}}|_D$ reduces to the subgroup $\mathbf{T}^\varphi$, i.e. there is a $\mathbf{T}^\varphi$ -bundle $e_{\mathbf{T}^\varphi}$ s.t. $e_{\mathbf{T}} = e_{\mathbf{T}^\varphi} \times^{\mathbf{T}^\varphi} \mathbf{T}$. The bundle $e_{\mathbf{T}^\varphi}$ can be lifted to a $\mathbf{R}$ -bundle, where $\mathbf{R} \subset \mathbf{B}$ is a regular centralizer, whose semisimple part is $\mathbf{T}^\varphi$.*
- (2) *Let $\mathbf{R} \subset \mathbf{B}_\varphi$ be any such regular centralizer and $e_{\mathbf{R}}$ an $\mathbf{R}$ -bundle with the properties above. Let $e_{\mathbf{B}_\varphi} := e_{\mathbf{R}} \times^{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{B}_\varphi$. Then there is a lift of the $\mathbf{T}$ -bundle to a $\mathbf{B}_\varphi$ -bundle, say $E_{\mathbf{B}_\varphi}$, so that $E_{\mathbf{B}_\varphi}/\mathbf{U}_\varphi = E_{\mathbf{T}}$ and $E_{\mathbf{B}_\varphi}|_D = e_{\mathbf{B}_\varphi}$.*

2.15. Automorphisms of $E_{\mathbf{G}_r}$. First, we touch on the endomorphisms of almost regular bundles:

Lemma 2.16. *Let φ be a geometric marking and $E_{\mathbf{B}_\varphi}$ be a $\mathbf{B}_\varphi$ -bundle as constructed above and $E_{\mathbf{G}_r} := E_{\mathbf{B}_\varphi} \times^{\mathbf{B}_\varphi} \mathbf{G}_r$. Given any subgroup $\mathbf{G}_{r1} \subset \mathbf{G}_r$ containing $\mathbf{B}_\varphi$ and a $\mathbf{G}_{r1}$ -module $\mathfrak{g}_2 \subset \mathfrak{g}$ containing $\mathfrak{t}^\varphi \mathfrak{u}_\varphi$, where $\mathfrak{b}_\varphi = \mathfrak{t} \mathfrak{u}_\varphi$ is the Levi decomposition for $\mathfrak{b}_\varphi$, set $E_{\mathbf{G}_{r1}} := E_{\mathbf{G}_{r\varphi}} \times^{\mathbf{G}_{r\varphi}} \mathbf{G}_{r1}$. Then the inclusion*

$$H^0(S, E_{\mathbf{B}_\varphi}(\mathfrak{t}^\varphi \mathfrak{u}_\varphi)) \subset H^0(S, E_{\mathbf{G}_{r1}}(\mathfrak{g}_2))$$

is an equality.

Consequently, the following natural inclusions

$$H^0(E_{\mathbf{B}_\varphi}(\mathfrak{t}^\varphi \mathfrak{u}_\varphi)) \subset H^0(E_{\mathbf{B}_\varphi}(\mathfrak{b}_\varphi)) \subset H^0(E_{\mathbf{B}_\varphi}(\mathfrak{g}_\varphi)) \subset H^0(E_{\mathbf{B}_\varphi}(\mathfrak{g})) = H^0(\text{Ad } E_{\mathbf{G}_r})$$

are equalities.

For the definitions of the subgroups of $\mathbf{G}_r$ used in the lemma, see Section 1.24.

Sketch. The proof is an adaptation of Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6 [10] to arbitrary $\mathbf{B}_\varphi$ -modules in $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(\mathbf{G}_r)$ combined with the consequences of the fact that $E_{\mathbf{G}_r}|_D$ is a regular bundle on the smooth anticanonical divisor D . $\square$

Remark 2.17. The above result indicates that the global sections of any of the vector bundles considered in the lemma take their values in the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{t}^\varphi \mathfrak{u}_\varphi \subset \mathfrak{b}_\varphi$.

Lemma 2.18. *For all divisors $D \in |-K_S|$, the restriction map*

$$(1) \quad H^0(S, \text{Aut}(E_{\mathbf{G}_r})) \longrightarrow H^0(D, \text{Aut}(E_{\mathbf{G}_r})|_D)$$

is injective. When D is smooth, it is an isomorphism. The group of global automorphisms, $H^0(S, \text{Aut}(E_{\mathbf{G}_r}))$, of an almost regular bundle $E_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ is isomorphic to a regular centralizer in $\mathbf{G}_r$ and is, therefore, connected.

Proof. Recall that the restriction map

$$(2) \quad H^0(S, \text{Ad}(E_{\mathbf{G}_r})) \longrightarrow H^0(D, \text{Ad}(E_{\mathbf{G}_r})|D)$$

is an isomorphism for all $D \in |-K_S|$. Because $H^0(\cdot, \text{Ad}(E_{\mathbf{G}_r}))$ is the tangent Lie algebra of the Lie group $H^0(\cdot, \text{Aut}(E_{\mathbf{G}_r}))$, we conclude that (1) is an isomorphism of identity components.

We now show that it is injective for arbitrary D : Pick $g \in H^0(S, \text{Aut}(E_{\mathbf{G}_r}))$ so that $g|D = \text{Id}$ on D . By differentiating g , we see that $dg|D = 0$, i.e. $dg \in H^0(S, \text{Ad}(E_{\mathbf{G}_r})(-D))$. This group is trivial, hence dg vanishes identically on S . From this it follows that g is constant. Since $g|D = \text{Id}$ on D , we see that $g = \text{Id}$ on S . Hence, the restriction map on the global automorphisms of $E_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ is injective.

When D is smooth, it is an isomorphism: $E_{\mathbf{G}_r}|D$ is a regular bundle on the elliptic curve D and $H^0(D, \text{Ad}(E_{\mathbf{G}_r})|D)$ is isomorphic to a regular centralizer in $\mathbf{G}_r$ ([9]), which is connected (Theorem 1.17). This proves that (1) is an isomorphism for smooth D . We conclude that $H^0(S, \text{Ad}(E_{\mathbf{G}_r}))$ is isomorphic to a regular centralizer in $\mathbf{G}_r$ in $\mathbf{G}_r$ and connected as well. $\square$

2.19. Families. We define families of del Pezzo surfaces, almost regular bundles on them and prove a lemma comparing the cohomology line bundles of a family of del Pezzo surfaces and anticanonical divisors:

Definition 2.20. A family of (Gorenstein) del Pezzo surfaces is a flat projective map $p : (\mathbf{Y})\mathbf{S} \longrightarrow \mathbf{X}$ whose geometric fibers are (Gorenstein) del Pezzo surfaces.

Definition 2.21. An almost regular bundle $E_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ on a family of (Gorenstein) del Pezzo surfaces is a principal bundle $E_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ on the total space whose restriction to each (geometric) fiber is almost regular.

Remark 2.22. Recall that on a single surface, all such bundles are isomorphic. In fact, we will see that any two such bundles are also isomorphic locally with respect to the base.

Given a family of del Pezzo surfaces, $p : S \longrightarrow X$, of degree $9 - r$, a map $\varphi : \Lambda_S \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(S/X)$, is a relative marking if the restriction of φ to each geometric fiber is a marking. Here, Λ_S is the locally constant sheaf on S attached to $\Lambda = \Lambda_r$.

Lemma 2.23. For a given root α , let L_α be a line bundle corresponding to α and D a relative anticanonical section with integral fibers. Then

- (1) $R^i p_* L_\alpha = R^i p_{D*} L_\alpha = 0$ for $i \geq 2$.
- (2) For roots α positive w.r.t. φ , the restriction maps $R^i p_* L_\alpha \rightarrow R^i p_{D*} L_\alpha$ are isomorphisms for $i = 0, 1$ and
- (3) The restriction maps $H^j(S, L_\alpha) \rightarrow H^j(D, L_\alpha|D)$ are isomorphisms for $j \geq 0$.

Proof. 1) and 2) follow from Lemma 3.2 and 3.3 of [10]. To prove 3), we see that by 1), the Leray spectral sequence for both L_α on S and $L_\alpha|_D$ on D degenerates at the E_2 -term, resulting in a long exact sequence for each. The restriction map induces a commutative diagram whose rows are these exact sequences. Applying 2) and the five lemma to this commutative diagram yields 3). $\square$

2.24. Local Models. The following is a consequence of the previous lemma (in Section 2.15):

Theorem 2.25. *Let $p : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ be a family of (Gorenstein) del Pezzo surfaces. Suppose $E_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ is an almost regular bundle on $\mathbf{Y}$. Then the sheaves $p_* \text{Ad}(E_{\mathbf{G}_r})$ and $p_* \text{Aut}(E_{\mathbf{G}_r})$ are locally trivial on $\mathbf{X}$.*

Consequently, if $E_{\mathbf{G}_r}^i$, $i = 1, 2$ are two such bundles, then $p_ \text{Isom}(E_{\mathbf{G}_r}^1, E_{\mathbf{G}_r}^2)$ is locally trivial on $\mathbf{X}$. Therefore, given a point $x \in \mathbf{X}$, there is an open (etale) neighborhood $\mathbf{U}$ of x , for which the restriction of the two bundles $E_{\mathbf{G}_r}^i$ to $\mathbf{U} \times_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{Y}$ are isomorphic to each other.*

Proof. The sheaf $p_* \text{Ad}(E_{\mathbf{G}_r})$ is locally free since for any geometric fibre F , $h^0(F, \text{Ad}(E_{\mathbf{G}_r})|_F) = 1 + r$. Combining this with the fact that the group $H^0(F, \text{Aut}(E_{\mathbf{G}_r})|_F)$ is connected, we see that $p_* \text{Aut}(E_{\mathbf{G}_r})$ is a locally trivial group scheme with $(1 + r)$ -dimensional connected fibers.

Given two almost regular bundles $E_{\mathbf{G}_r}^i$, $i = 1, 2$, the sheaf $p_* \text{Isom}(E_{\mathbf{G}_r}^1, E_{\mathbf{G}_r}^2)$ is a torsor over $p_* \text{Aut}(E_{\mathbf{G}_r}^1)$, hence locally trivial. The final conclusion follows from this fact. $\square$

We make the following definition:

Definition 2.26. *We will say that two principal G -bundles on a fibration Y/X are locally isomorphic with respect to the base X , if for a given point in X , there is a neighborhood U of the point so that the pullback of the two bundles on $U \times_X Y$ are isomorphic.*

The above theorem shows that two almost regular bundles on a family of del Pezzo surfaces are locally isomorphic w.r.to the base. However, notice that the neighborhood $\mathbf{U}$ is not universal in any sense; it depends on the two bundles in consideration.

2.27. The Higgs Structure of Almost Regular Bundles.

Lemma 2.28. *Given a del Pezzo surface S and a point s on S not contained in any (-2) -curve, there exists a smooth divisor $D \in |-K_S|$ containing s .*

Proof. By Bertini's Theorem. $\square$

2.29. Regularity on Elliptic Curves. Given a reductive Lie group, G , recall that

Definition 2.30. *A principal G -bundle E_G on a smooth curve D is semistable if its adjoint bundle $\text{Ad}(E_G)$ is semistable. It is regular if $h^0(D, \text{Ad}(E_G)) = \text{rank } G$.*

Lemma 2.31. *Let V be a semistable vector bundle of degree 0 on a smooth curve D . Then the evaluation map*

$$H^0(D, V) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D \longrightarrow V$$

is an injective map of vector bundles.

Proof. It is enough to show that any nonzero section s of V has no zeroes.

If s vanishes along a divisor E on D , we see that the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(E)$ is a line sub bundle of V . Since V is semistable of slope 0, we conclude that there is no such E . In other words, s has no zeroes, proving that the evaluation map is injective. $\square$

Corollary 2.32. *Let D be a smooth elliptic curve and E_G a regular bundle on D . Then*

$$H^0(D, \text{Ad}(E_G)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D \longrightarrow \text{Ad}(E_G)$$

is an injection of vector bundles.

2.33. Regularity on the Surface S .

Theorem 2.34. *An almost regular $\mathbf{G}_r$ -bundle $E_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ on S determines a Higgs structure on S^0 , namely $\text{ev}^0 : H^0(S, \text{Ad}(E_{\mathbf{G}_r})) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S^0} \longrightarrow \text{Ad}(E_{\mathbf{G}_r})|_{S^0}$. In other words,*

- (1) *The evaluation map ev^0 is of maximal rank ($= 1 + r$) on S^0 ,*
- (2) *The image of ev^0 provides a Higgs structure for $E_{\mathbf{G}_r}$.*

Furthermore,

- (3) *Although, the evaluation map $\text{ev} : H^0(S, \text{Ad}(E_{\mathbf{G}_r})) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S \longrightarrow \text{Ad}(E_{\mathbf{G}_r})$ is well-defined, the image of ev along -2 -curves, has no regular elements in it. In other words, the Higgs structure given by $H^0(S, \text{Ad}(E_{\mathbf{G}_r})) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S^0}$ and ev^0 does not extend any further.*

Proof. To prove (1), recall that given a point $s \in S^0$, there is a smooth divisor $D \in |-K_S|$ by Lemma 2.28. By the corollary above, it follows that the evaluation map is of maximal rank along D , hence ev is of maximal for every $s \in S^0$.

To see (2) and (3), fix a geometric marking φ . Notice that $\mathfrak{t}^\varphi$ is a trivial $\mathbf{B}_\varphi$ -module, $\mathfrak{t}^\varphi \mathfrak{u}_\varphi \subset \mathfrak{b}_\varphi$ is a $\mathbf{B}_\varphi$ -module and it splits since $\mathfrak{t}^\varphi \subset \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{b}_\varphi)$. It follows that $E_{\mathbf{B}_\varphi}(\mathfrak{t}^\varphi)$ is a trivial vector bundle and the nontrivial part of the bundle $E_{\mathbf{B}_\varphi}(\mathfrak{t}^\varphi \mathfrak{u}_\varphi)$ is $E_{\mathbf{B}_\varphi}(\mathfrak{u}_\varphi)$. By Lemma 2.16, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(S, \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{G}_r}(\mathfrak{g})) &\cong H^0(S, E_{\mathbf{B}_\varphi}(\mathfrak{t}^\varphi \oplus \mathfrak{u}_\varphi)) \\ &\cong H^0(S, E_{\mathbf{B}_\varphi}(\mathfrak{t}^\varphi)) \oplus H^0(S, E_{\mathbf{B}_\varphi}(\mathfrak{u}_\varphi)). \end{aligned}$$

Any section $x \in H^0(S, E_{\mathbf{B}_\varphi}(\mathfrak{t}^\varphi \oplus \mathfrak{u}_\varphi))$ has a Jordan decomposition with $x = x_s + x_n$ s.t. $x_s \in H^0(S, E_{\mathbf{B}_\varphi}(\mathfrak{t}^\varphi))$ and $x_n \in H^0(S, E_{\mathbf{B}_\varphi}(\mathfrak{u}_\varphi))$. A section x will be regular at a point $p \in S$ iff $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(x_s(p)) = \mathfrak{g}_\varphi$ and $x_n(p)$ is a regular nilpotent in $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(x_s(p))$. Since x_s is constant, the first condition holds at one point $p \in S$ if and only if it holds throughout S . From now on, assume that this is the case.

To check the regularity of the nilpotent section x_n at a point p , it is necessary and sufficient to see if all the α -component of $x_n(p)$ are nonzero for simple roots $\alpha \in \Sigma_\varphi := \varphi^{-1}(\Delta_i(S))$: Let $\mathfrak{u}_\varphi^2 := [\mathfrak{u}_\varphi, \mathfrak{u}_\varphi]$, then there is a $\mathbf{B}_\varphi$ -module sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{u}_\varphi^2 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{u}_\varphi \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Sigma_\varphi} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \longrightarrow 0.$$

Denote the projection map $\mathfrak{u}_\varphi \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ by π_α . A nilpotent valued section x_n at a point p is regular iff $\pi_\alpha(x_n(p)) \neq 0$ for all simple roots $\alpha \in \Sigma_\varphi$.

Fix a smooth divisor $D \in |-K_S|$ and a section $x = x_s + x_n$ such that $x|D$ is regular. This is possible since $E_{\mathbf{G}_r}|D$ is regular and $H^0(D, \text{Ad}(E_{\mathbf{G}_r})|D)$ is a regular centralizer contained in $\mathfrak{t}^\varphi \mathfrak{u}_\varphi \subset \mathfrak{g}$.

For $\alpha \in \Sigma_\varphi$, the roots corresponding to -2 -curves under φ , we have $E_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha)|D \cong \mathcal{O}_D$. It follows that $\pi_\alpha(x_n)|D$ is constant and $\neq 0$. Since $H^0(S, E_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha)) \cong H^0(D, E_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha)|D) \cong \mathbf{C}$, it follows that $\pi_\alpha(x_n)$ is a nontrivial section of the line bundle $E_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha)$. Thus $\text{div}(\pi_\alpha(x_n))$ is exactly the -2 -curve C_α , where $E_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha) = \mathcal{O}_S(C_\alpha)$. Away from C_α , the section $\pi_\alpha(x_n) \in E_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha)$ has no zeroes, which proves that x_n is a regular in $\mathfrak{g}_\varphi$ and x is regular in $\mathfrak{g}$ on S^0 . By the same argument, we see that α -component of the section x vanishes along the (-2) -curve C_α . The former proves that the image of ev^0 is the centralizer of such a section x and hence is a regular centralizer subbundle, i.e. a Higgs structure for $E_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ proving (2). The latter proves (3) that this Higgs structure cannot be extended to the union of (-2) -curves. □

We adopt a more relaxed definition of regularization:

Definition 2.35. *Given a projective flat map $p : Y \longrightarrow X$ with integral fibers and open subscheme $Y^0 \subset Y$ with $\text{codim}(Y - Y^0) \geq 2$, a regularized G -bundle on Y^0 is a triple $(E_G, \mathfrak{c}_X, i)$, where*

- E_G is a principal G -bundle on Y^0 ,
- $\mathfrak{c}_X$ is a vector bundle on X , and
- The map $i : p^* \mathfrak{c}_X \longrightarrow \text{Ad}(E_G)$ is an injection of coherent sheaves, which is of maximal rank over the open subscheme Y^0 whose image is a vector subbundle of regular centralizers.

Theorem 2.36. *An almost regular bundle $E_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ on $p : \mathbf{Y} \longrightarrow \mathbf{X}$ admits a unique regularization, namely $p_* \text{Ad}(E_{\mathbf{G}_r})$.*

Proof. Keeping the notation of the above definition, observe that $i : p^* \mathfrak{c}_X \rightarrow \text{Ad}(E_G)$ factors through $p^* p_* \text{Ad}(E_G)$, i.e. $p^* \mathfrak{c}_X \longrightarrow p^* p_* \text{Ad}(E_G) \longrightarrow \text{Ad}(E_G)$. If we start with an almost regular bundle $E_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ on a family $p : \mathbf{Y} \longrightarrow \mathbf{X}$, then $E_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ is regularized by $p_* \text{Ad}(E_{\mathbf{G}_r})$ (this follows from Theorem 2.34). The previous observation shows that this is the unique regularization possible. □

From now on, assume the Gorenstein del Pezzo family $\mathbf{Y}/\mathbf{X}$ has a section. Then the sheaf Q_v is trivial.

The following is our main theorem.

Theorem 2.37. *The following datum*

- (1) *A cameral resolution*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{S} & \xrightarrow{r} & \tilde{\mathbf{Y}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{c}} & \mathbf{Y} \\ \downarrow s & & \downarrow \tilde{p} & & \downarrow p \\ \tilde{\mathbf{X}} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \tilde{\mathbf{X}} & \xrightarrow{c} & \mathbf{X} \end{array}$$

with normal cameral cover $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$,

- (2) *A marking $\Phi : \Lambda_{\mathbf{S}} \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathbf{S}/\tilde{\mathbf{X}})$,*
 (3) *An object from $\text{Higgs}'_{\tilde{\mathbf{X}}}(X)$*

determines a unique almost regular $\mathbf{G}_r$ -bundle on $\mathbf{Y}$.

When there is a cameral resolution as above, there exists such markings. By Proposition 6.8.2 [12], it follows that $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$ (resp. $\mathbf{S}$) is normal since $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$ has normal (resp. $\mathbf{S}$ has smooth) fibers over the normal scheme $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$. Since $\mathbf{S} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$ induces an isomorphism between the $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}^0$ and its preimage, we identify these two.

Remark 2.38. In the proof of the above statement, we will use Theorem 18.5 [7] (cited as Theorem 2.8). However, the careful reader will notice that we cannot possibly apply this theorem to our setup as it is unless we impose that $\mathbf{Y} \longrightarrow \mathbf{X}$ is a smooth morphism. Therefore, without ever stating a precise version of this theorem applicable directly in our case, we will informally discuss how it is possible to apply this theorem under the assumptions we presented above. In short, this is possible by amending the reasoning for Theorem 18.5 by requiring that $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ is normal and subsequently, invoking Hartogs' Lemma on $\mathbf{S}$.

For this theorem, one needs a projective flat morphism with integral fibers. The assumptions, projectivity and integral fibers are used for two purposes. The first is the existence of the relative Picard scheme. One can get around this by dealing with the global sections of the relative Picard sheaf. The second is to show that sections, automorphisms etc. of some T - (and related $\mathbf{C}^*$ -) bundles are constant (possibly along the fibers) using the properness. Such arguments used in the proof of Theorem 2.8 fails for $\mathbf{Y}^0$. However, we can reach the same conclusions by invoking Hartogs' Lemma for such objects on $\mathbf{S}$: For instance, as a part of the proof of Theorem 2.8 one has to show that the certain automorphism of $E_{\mathbf{T}}^0$ is trivial. Starting with the automorphisms on $\mathbf{Y}^0$, we see by Hartogs' Lemma that they extend to automorphisms of $E_{\mathbf{T}}$ on $\mathbf{S}$. (Notice that we only extend sections, not the objects. Therefore the existence of $E_{\mathbf{T}}$ a priori to the construction is crucial.) However, $\mathbf{S}$ over $\mathbf{X}$ is projective with integral fibers and hence the rest of the arguments runs as before. Notice that the resulting bundles are regularized $\mathbf{G}_r$ -bundles on $\mathbf{Y}^0$, rather than on $\mathbf{Y}$.

Proof. We prove this result in several steps.

The first step is to build a regularized $\mathbf{G}_r$ -bundle $E_{\mathbf{G}_r}^0$ on $\mathbf{Y}^0$ by slightly altering the arguments of Section 18 [7] used to prove Theorem 18.5[7] (or, Theorem 2.8).

The second step is to show that the pullback of this bundle to $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}^0$ extends to an almost regular bundle $\overline{E}_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ on $\mathbf{S}$. The final step is to show that this bundle descends from $\mathbf{S}$ to $\mathbf{Y}$.

We do the final step first. Assume that there is an almost regular $\mathbf{G}_r$ -bundle $\overline{E}_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ on $\mathbf{S}$. It descends to $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$ by Lemma 3.4 [10]. Denote this bundle by $\tilde{E}_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ on $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$. The second descent is from $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$ to $\mathbf{Y}$: Pullback by $w \in W$ induces an automorphism of $\tilde{E}_{\mathbf{G}_r}^0$. Applying Hartogs' Lemma to automorphisms of $\tilde{E}_{\mathbf{G}_r}$, we see that this induced automorphism extends to $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$. It is clear that such automorphisms satisfy the descent condition for $\tilde{E}_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ by the density of $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}^0$ in $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$. Consequently, $\tilde{E}_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ descends.

We proceed with the first step. First, pick a $\mathbf{T}$ -bundle $E_{\mathbf{T}}$ representing Φ on $\mathbf{S}$. Restricting $E_{\mathbf{T}}$ to $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}^0$ determines a value map Φ^0 . Now the three piece datum $(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}, \Phi^0, \text{Object} \in \text{Higgs}'_{\tilde{\mathbf{X}}}(X))$ determine a regularized $\mathbf{G}_r$ -bundle on $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$ by the above remark.

In the second step, we will extend $\overline{E}_{\mathbf{G}_r}^0$ to $\mathbf{S}$. This will be done in an inductive manner following [10]. Notice that for any etale open $\mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$, the extension of the bundle $E_{\mathbf{G}_r}^0$ from $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}^0 \times_{\mathbf{U}} \mathbf{X}$ to $\mathbf{S} \times_{\mathbf{U}} \mathbf{X}$ is unique. Therefore, it is sufficient to extend the bundle $E_{\mathbf{G}_r}^0$ locally with respect to $\mathbf{X}$. Let $\mathbf{D} \in |-K_{\mathbf{Y}/\mathbf{X}}|$ have integral fibers. Such a $\mathbf{D}$ exists after possibly shrinking $\mathbf{X}$.

The restriction of $(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}, \Phi^0, \text{Object} \in \text{Higgs}'_{\tilde{\mathbf{X}}}(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Phi^0})$ to $\mathbf{D}$ produces a regularized $\mathbf{G}_r$ -bundle on $\mathbf{D}$. (In fact, the restriction to a given smooth fiber is regular.) Recall that on the cameral cover $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}$, there is a $\mathbf{B}$ -bundle, $\tilde{e}_{\mathbf{B}}$, s.t. $\tilde{e}_{\mathbf{G}_r} = \tilde{e}_{\mathbf{B}} \times^{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{G}_r$, $\tilde{e}_{\mathbf{B}}/\mathbf{U} \cong E_{\mathbf{T}}|_{\tilde{\mathbf{D}}}$, where $\tilde{e}_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ is the pullback of $e_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ to $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}$. Also, there exists a $\mathbf{B}$ -bundle $\overline{E}_{\mathbf{B}}^0$ on $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}^0$ with $\overline{E}_{\mathbf{G}_r}^0 = \overline{E}_{\mathbf{B}}^0 \times^{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{G}_r$ and $\overline{E}_{\mathbf{B}}^0/\mathbf{U} \cong E_{\mathbf{T}}$ on $\mathbf{Y}^0$.

We will extend $\overline{E}_{\mathbf{B}}^0$ to $\mathbf{S}$. This will be done as follows: We will lift the $\mathbf{T}$ -bundle $E_{\mathbf{T}}$ to a $\mathbf{B}$ -bundle and will prove that the two $\mathbf{B}$ -bundle (resp. the associated $\mathbf{G}_r$ -bundles) are isomorphic on $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}^0$. This proves that $\overline{E}_{\mathbf{B}}^0$ (resp. $\overline{E}_{\mathbf{G}_r}^0$) extends to $\mathbf{S}$.

The lifting problem from $\mathbf{T}$ to $\mathbf{B}$ will be carried out in an inductive manner, where for each step, one has a lifting problem for $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$ with N normal and Abelian. Two fundamental questions are: Can one lift a H -bundle on X to a G -bundle? If so, how many lifts are there? The group H acts on N . Given E_H one can form $E_H(N)$ and the sequence

$$H^1(X, E_H(N)) \longrightarrow H^1(X, G) \longrightarrow H^1(X, H) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(X, E_H(N)).$$

The bundle E_H has a lift to a G -bundle iff the obstruction $\delta[E_H] \in H^2(X, E_H(N))$ vanishes. In which case, $H^1(X, E_H(N))$ acts transitively on the set of all such lifts. For details, see [19].

Fix a decreasing filtration of the unipotent group $\mathbf{U}$, $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}_0 \supset \mathbf{U}_1 \supset \cdots \supset \mathbf{U}_N \supset \mathbf{U}_{N+1} = \{1\}$ by normal $\mathbf{T}$ -invariant subgroups such that the quotient $\mathbf{U}_j/\mathbf{U}_{j+1}$ is contained in the center of $\mathbf{U}/\mathbf{U}_{j+1}$ for all j . Then the quotient group $\mathbf{U}_j/\mathbf{U}_{j+1}$ is a direct sum of root spaces $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$. Denote the index set of the roots for the

$\mathbf{U}_j/\mathbf{U}_{j+1}$ by $\Delta_j \subset \Delta$, then set $\mathbf{U}^j := \mathbf{U}_j/\mathbf{U}_{j+1} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_j} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. Also set $\mathbf{B}_j := \mathbf{B}/\mathbf{U}_j$ and define $\tilde{e}_{\mathbf{B}_j}$ to be $\tilde{e}_{\mathbf{B}}/\mathbf{U}_j$. The bundles $\tilde{e}_{\mathbf{B}_j}$ will be used as a framing along the genus 1 fibration $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}/\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$.

We do induction on j . As the initial step, set $\overline{E}_{\mathbf{B}_0} := E_{\mathbf{T}}$. The induction step is to lift a $\mathbf{B}_j$ -bundle $\overline{E}_{\mathbf{B}_j}$ s.t. $\overline{E}_{\mathbf{B}_j}|_{\tilde{\mathbf{D}}} \cong \tilde{e}_{\mathbf{B}_j}$ to a $\mathbf{B}_{j+1}$ -bundle $\overline{E}_{\mathbf{B}_{j+1}}$ s.t. $\overline{E}_{\mathbf{B}_{j+1}}|_{\tilde{\mathbf{D}}} \cong \tilde{e}_{\mathbf{B}_{j+1}}$.

For $\overline{E}_{\mathbf{B}_j}$ and $\tilde{e}_{\mathbf{B}_j}$, $\mathbf{B}_j$ -bundles on $\mathbf{S}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}$ respectively, we have the following diagram for the lifting problem

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} H^1(\mathbf{S}, \overline{E}_{\mathbf{B}_j}(\mathbf{U}_j)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{B}_{j+1}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{B}_j) & \longrightarrow & H^2(\mathbf{S}, \overline{E}_{\mathbf{B}_j}(\mathbf{U}_j)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^1(\tilde{\mathbf{D}}, \tilde{e}_{\mathbf{B}_j}(\mathbf{U}_j)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\tilde{\mathbf{D}}, \mathbf{B}_{j+1}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\tilde{\mathbf{D}}, \mathbf{B}_j) & \longrightarrow & H^2(\tilde{\mathbf{D}}, \tilde{e}_{\mathbf{B}_j}(\mathbf{U}_j)) \end{array}$$

where the downward arrows are the restriction maps from $\mathbf{S}$ to $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}$.

The vector bundle $\overline{E}_{\mathbf{B}_j}(\mathbf{U}_j)$ is direct sum of line bundles, $\overline{E}_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha)$, corresponding to the *positive* roots $\alpha \in \Delta_j$. By Lemma 2.23, it follows that the first and the last vertical arrows are isomorphisms.

Recall that the obstruction to lift $\overline{E}_{\mathbf{B}_j}$ to a $\mathbf{B}_{j+1}$ -bundle restricts to the obstruction to the lift $\tilde{e}_{\mathbf{B}_j}$. The obstruction on $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}$ vanishes since there is already a lift, namely $\tilde{e}_{\mathbf{B}_{j+1}}$ as prescribed above. Therefore we see that $\overline{E}_{\mathbf{B}_j}$ also lifts. The first cohomology acts transitively on the set of all such lifts. Since the first cohomology groups are isomorphic for $\mathbf{S}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}$, we can choose the lift $\overline{E}_{\mathbf{B}_{j+1}}$ so that $\overline{E}_{\mathbf{B}_{j+1}}|_{\tilde{\mathbf{D}}} \cong \tilde{e}_{\mathbf{B}_{j+1}}$. This concludes the induction process.

Once this is done, set $\overline{E}_{\mathbf{G}_r} := \overline{E}_{\mathbf{B}} \times^{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{G}_r$. Then by construction, $\overline{E}_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ is isomorphic to $\tilde{E}_{\mathbf{G}_r}^0$ on $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}^0$. Since $\tilde{E}_{\mathbf{G}_r}^0$ is isomorphic to a bundle which extends to $\mathbf{S}$, we conclude that it extends to $\mathbf{S}$. $\square$

Using the above theorem, we conclude that on a given (Gorenstein) del Pezzo fibration $\mathbf{Y}/\mathbf{X}$ with normal $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$, one can construct an Abelian sheaf, $\mathcal{A}$, whose cohomology groups $H^2(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{A})$ and $H^1(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{A})$ capture the basic nature of almost regular bundles on $\mathbf{Y}$: The obstruction to the existence of an almost regular bundle lives in $H^2(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{A})$. There is such a bundle if and only if this obstruction vanishes. Moreover, $H^1(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{A})$ acts transitively on the isomorphism classes of almost regular bundles and simply transitively if $\mathbf{X}$ is projective. Here, $\mathcal{A}$ is the sheaf of automorphisms of almost regular bundles along the fibers of $\mathbf{Y}/\mathbf{X}$. It is a sheaf of abelian groups on $\mathbf{X}$. Its existence is enabled by the above theorem, the fact that almost regular bundles are locally isomorphic w.r.t. the base (Theorem 2.25) and the fact that the automorphism groups along the fibers are abelian groups (Section 2.15).

We see that once the cameral cover $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ is normal, and there is a simultaneous resolution $\mathbf{S}$ above $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$, then the bundles corresponding to the pair $(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}, \Phi)$ can all

be extended to $\mathbf{Y}$ and the isomorphism classes of these almost regular bundles are a homogeneous space under the $H^1(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{A})$ action.

This is in agreement with the results of [7]: The set of isomorphism classes of regularized $\mathbf{G}_r$ -bundles on $\mathbf{Y}^0$ corresponding to the pair $(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}, \Phi^0)$ is a homogenous space under the $H^1(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{T}_{\tilde{\mathbf{X}}})$ action. Moreover, the two abelian sheaves $\mathbf{T}_{\tilde{\mathbf{X}}}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ are canonically isomorphic by Theorem 11.6 [7].

By Theorem 1.12 and the above discussion, we conclude that

Theorem 2.39. *Given a Gorenstein del Pezzo family $\mathbf{Y}/\mathbf{X}$ whose base $\mathbf{X}$ is a smooth projective curve and total space $\mathbf{Y}$ a smooth threefold, then there exists a smooth cameral cover $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ together with a simultaneous resolution $\mathbf{S}$. For a fixed relative marking Φ , the isomorphism classes of almost regular bundles are a torsor under $H^1(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{A})$.*

Note. In this case, $H^2(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{A}) = 0$ by [9].

In Proposition 5.5 [9], the authors observe that $H^1(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{A})$ can be related to the intermediate Jacobian of the threefold in the following way: Let $J^3(\mathbf{Y})$ be the intermediate Jacobian of $\mathbf{Y}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ be a smooth (relative) anticanonical divisor in $\mathbf{Y}$. Then define the relative intermediate Jacobian $J^3(\mathbf{Y}/\mathbf{X})$ as the kernel of the morphism $J^3(\mathbf{Y}) \rightarrow J(\mathbf{X})$ induced from $H^*(\mathbf{Y}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathbf{D}) \rightarrow H^{*-2}(\mathbf{X})$. Also set

$$H_0^{2,2}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}) := \ker(H^4(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}))/\mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{Y}_x].$$

where $\mathbf{Y}_x$ is a general fiber. Then $H_0^{2,2}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z})$ is a finite group in general and $H^1(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{A})$ can be fitted into an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow J^3(\mathbf{Y}/\mathbf{X}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H_0^{2,2}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow 0.$$

This result generalizes Kanev's earlier results for fibrations for which $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{P}^1$, $4 \leq r \leq 7$, and the fibers have at worst a single ordinary node (A_1 -singularity).

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Artin. Algebraic construction of Brieskorn's resolutions. *J. Algebra*, 29:330–348, 1974.
- [2] Arnaud Beauville, M. S. Narasimhan, and S. Ramanan. Spectral curves and the generalised theta divisor. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 398:169–179, 1989.
- [3] Egbert Brieskorn. Über die Auflösung gewisser Singularitäten von holomorphen Abbildungen. *Math. Ann.*, 166:76–102, 1966.
- [4] Egbert Brieskorn. Die Auflösung der rationalen Singularitäten holomorpher Abbildungen. *Math. Ann.*, 178:255–270, 1968.
- [5] Michel Demazure. Surfaces de del pezzo, ii, iii, iv, v. In *Séminaire sur les Singularités des Surfaces*, volume 777 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pages 21–69. Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [6] Igor Dolgachev. Topics in classical algebraic geometry i.
- [7] R. Y. Donagi and D. Gaitsgory. The gerbe of Higgs bundles. *Transform. Groups*, 7(2):109–153, 2002.
- [8] Alan H. Durfee. Fifteen characterizations of rational double points and simple critical points. *Enseign. Math. (2)*, 25(1-2):131–163, 1979.
- [9] Robert Friedman and John W. Morgan. Holomorphic principal bundles over elliptic curves. [math.AG/9811130](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.AG/9811130).

- [10] Robert Friedman and John W. Morgan. Exceptional groups and del Pezzo surfaces. In *Symposium in Honor of C. H. Clemens (Salt Lake City, UT, 2000)*, volume 312 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 101–116. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [11] Robert Friedman and John W. Morgan. Automorphism sheaves, spectral covers, and the Kostant and Steinberg sections. In *Vector bundles and representation theory (Columbia, MO, 2002)*, volume 322 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 217–244. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- [12] A. Grothendieck. Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas IV. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (32):361, 1967.
- [13] Fumio Hidaka and Keiichi Watanabe. Normal Gorenstein surfaces with ample anti-canonical divisor. *Tokyo J. Math.*, 4(2):319–330, 1981.
- [14] Nigel Hitchin. Stable bundles and integrable systems. *Duke Math. J.*, 54(1):91–114, 1987.
- [15] James E. Humphreys. *Linear algebraic groups*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975.
- [16] James E. Humphreys. *Conjugacy classes in semisimple algebraic groups*, volume 43 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1995.
- [17] János Kollár and Shigefumi Mori. *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, volume 134 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [18] Yu. I. Manin. *Cubic forms*, volume 4 of *North-Holland Mathematical Library*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1986.
- [19] Yuri I. Manin. *Gauge field theory and complex geometry*, volume 289 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1997. Translated from the 1984 Russian original by N. Koblitz and J. R. King, With an appendix by Sergei Merkulov.
- [20] P. Slodowy. Platonic solids, Kleinian singularities, and Lie groups. In *Algebraic geometry (Ann Arbor, Mich., 1981)*, volume 1008 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 102–138. Springer, Berlin, 1983.
- [21] Peter Slodowy. *Four lectures on simple groups and singularities*, volume 11 of *Communications of the Mathematical Institute, Rijksuniversiteit Utrecht*. Rijksuniversiteit Utrecht Mathematical Institute, Utrecht, 1980.
- [22] Peter Slodowy. *Simple singularities and simple algebraic groups*, volume 815 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [23] G. N. Tjurina. Locally semi-universal flat deformations of isolated singularities of complex spaces. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 33:1026–1058, 1969.
- [24] G. N. Tjurina. Resolution of singularities of flat deformations of double rational points. *Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen.*, 4(1):77–83, 1970.

UNIVERSITÄT HANNOVER, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, LEHRGEBIET C, D-30167 HANNOVER, GERMANY

E-mail address: `aker@math.uni-hannover.de`